

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/998,026	Applicant(s) MITCHELL ET AL.	
	Examiner Victor K. Hwang	Art Unit 3764	

All Participants:

(1) Victor K. Hwang.

(2) James R. Cannon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2005

Time: 3:30

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 13, 24, 33 and 39

Prior art documents discussed:

Habing et al. '467 and Karasawa et al.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for Examiner's amendment to define around Habing et al., which teaches that the disclosed machine can be adapted for exercise of the triceps muscles by adjusting the resistance mechanism.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)